

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/769,531	01/26/2001	Naohito Takae	1095.1155 (JDH)	4909
21171	7590 09/12/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			CHOW, MING	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2645	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/769,531	TAKAE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ming Chow	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 June 2005</u> .						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
• • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-4,7-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/769,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 2645

## Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed on 6-24-05 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action, see 37 CFR 1.111, because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): See claim objections to claim 13 as stated below. Examiner waives the "ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice" requirement for response in order to speed up the processing of the current application. However, Applicant must address every objection and rejection in the next reply, if any.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 13 recites "the telephone number directory corresponding to the first telephone number" (line 9). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,531 Page 3

Art Unit: 2645

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "the one of the identifies directories" (line 12-13) is not clearly defined. It is unclear what is "identifies directories".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7, 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keiko et al, and in view of Vossler (US: 6317593).

For claims 1, 7, 11, Keiko et al teach on Fig. 1-3 and paragraph 20-34, telephone number changing means to change a number in response to a request to a telephone directory of a registrant who's phone number has been registered in the phone number changer's directory.

Keiko et al teach on Fig. 17 means for confirming in advance before modification.

Keiko et al failed to teach "specify a time at which the telephone number change is to be performed". However, Vossler teaches on column 2 line 23-41, an interface for modifying a schedule for enabling a desired cellular telephone function.

It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Keiko et al to have the "specify a time at which the telephone number change is to be performed" as taught by Vossler such that the modified system of Keiko et al would be able to support the system users a better function of specifying a time to change the telephone number.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 15, all rejections as stated in claim 1 above apply.

Keiko et al teach on paragraph 23-26 and Fig. 6 extracting registrants information for sending a change notification.

Regarding claims 4, Keiko et al teach on Fig. 17 means for confirming in advance before modification.

Regarding claim 8, Keiko et al teach on paragraph 26 the step 6 of Fig. 3 generating the change notification by item 5 of Fig.1 (claimed "portable telephone service provider").

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 10, Keiko et al teach on Fig. 8 means for reflecting telephone number difference.

Regarding claim 12, all rejections as stated in claims 1, 3, 4, 7-11 above apply.

Regarding claims 13, 14, all rejections as stated in claim 1 above apply.

Regarding "central data center", Keiko et al teach on paragraph 17 data-storage section (claimed "central data center") where maintains the telephone directory.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keiko et al as applied in claim 8 above, and in view of Vossler and further in view of Lautenschlager et al (US: 6289091).

Keiko et al in view of Vossler as stated in claim 8 above failed to teach "a telephone number changing unit.....service provider". However, Lautenschlager et al teach on Abstract – the directory number administration (reads on claimed "telephone service provider") issues the change order and synchronizes the changes to the subscriber terminal (claimed "number changer").

It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Keiko et al in view of Vossler to have the "said telephone.....service provider" as taught by Lautenschlager et al such that the modified system of Keiko et al in view of Vossler would be able to support the notification instruction from the service provider to the system users.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,531 Page 6

Art Unit: 2645

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this application and office action should be directed to the examiner Ming Chow whose telephone number is (571) 272-7535. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (571) 272-7547. Any inquiry of a general mature or relating to the status of this application or

Art Unit: 2645

proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to Central FAX Number 571-273-8300.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2645

Ming Chow

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600